



Amazon

Exam Questions AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate

AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate (DEA-C01)

NEW QUESTION 1

A data engineer needs to join data from multiple sources to perform a one-time analysis job. The data is stored in Amazon DynamoDB, Amazon RDS, Amazon Redshift, and Amazon S3.

Which solution will meet this requirement MOST cost-effectively?

- A. Use an Amazon EMR provisioned cluster to read from all source
- B. Use Apache Spark to join the data and perform the analysis.
- C. Copy the data from DynamoDB, Amazon RDS, and Amazon Redshift into Amazon S3. Run Amazon Athena queries directly on the S3 files.
- D. Use Amazon Athena Federated Query to join the data from all data sources.
- E. Use Redshift Spectrum to query data from DynamoDB, Amazon RDS, and Amazon S3 directly from Redshift.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Amazon Athena Federated Query is a feature that allows you to query data from multiple sources using standard SQL. You can use Athena Federated Query to join data from Amazon DynamoDB, Amazon RDS, Amazon Redshift, and Amazon S3, as well as other data sources such as MongoDB, Apache HBase, and Apache Kafka¹. Athena Federated Query is a serverless and interactive service, meaning you do not need to provision or manage any infrastructure, and you only pay for the amount of data scanned by your queries. Athena Federated Query is the most cost-effective solution for performing a one-time analysis job on data from multiple sources, as it eliminates the need to copy or move data, and allows you to query data directly from the source.

The other options are not as cost-effective as Athena Federated Query, as they involve additional steps or costs. Option A requires you to provision and pay for an Amazon EMR cluster, which can be expensive and time-consuming for a one-time job. Option B requires you to copy or move data from DynamoDB, RDS, and Redshift to S3, which can incur additional costs for data transfer and storage, and also introduce latency and complexity. Option D requires you to have an existing Redshift cluster, which can be costly and may not be necessary for a one-time job. Option E also does not support querying data from RDS directly, so you would need to use Redshift Federated Query to access RDS data, which adds another layer of complexity². References:

? Amazon Athena Federated Query

? Redshift Spectrum vs Federated Query

NEW QUESTION 2

A company uses an Amazon QuickSight dashboard to monitor usage of one of the company's applications. The company uses AWS Glue jobs to process data for the dashboard. The company stores the data in a single Amazon S3 bucket. The company adds new data every day.

A data engineer discovers that dashboard queries are becoming slower over time. The data engineer determines that the root cause of the slowing queries is long-running AWS Glue jobs.

Which actions should the data engineer take to improve the performance of the AWS Glue jobs? (Choose two.)

- A. Partition the data that is in the S3 bucket
- B. Organize the data by year, month, and day.
- C. Increase the AWS Glue instance size by scaling up the worker type.
- D. Convert the AWS Glue schema to the DynamicFrame schema class.
- E. Adjust AWS Glue job scheduling frequency so the jobs run half as many times each day.
- F. Modify the 1AM role that grants access to AWS glue to grant access to all S3 features.

Answer: AB

Explanation:

Partitioning the data in the S3 bucket can improve the performance of AWS Glue jobs by reducing the amount of data that needs to be scanned and processed. By organizing the data by year, month, and day, the AWS Glue job can use partition pruning to filter out irrelevant data and only read the data that matches the query criteria. This can speed up the data processing and reduce the cost of running the AWS Glue job. Increasing the AWS Glue instance size by scaling up the worker type can also improve the performance of AWS Glue jobs by providing more memory and CPU resources for the Spark execution engine. This can help the AWS Glue job handle larger data sets and complex transformations more efficiently. The other options are either incorrect or irrelevant, as they do not affect the performance of the AWS Glue jobs. Converting the AWS Glue schema to the DynamicFrame schema class does not improve the performance, but rather provides additional functionality and flexibility for data manipulation. Adjusting the AWS Glue job scheduling frequency does not improve the performance, but rather reduces the frequency of data updates. Modifying the IAM role that grants access to AWS Glue does not improve the performance, but rather affects the security and permissions of the AWS Glue service. References:

? Optimising Glue Scripts for Efficient Data Processing: Part 1 (Section: Partitioning Data in S3)

? Best practices to optimize cost and performance for AWS Glue streaming ETL jobs (Section: Development tools)

? Monitoring with AWS Glue job run insights (Section: Requirements)

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide (Chapter 5, page 133)

NEW QUESTION 3

A data engineer maintains custom Python scripts that perform a data formatting process that many AWS Lambda functions use. When the data engineer needs to modify the Python scripts, the data engineer must manually update all the Lambda functions.

The data engineer requires a less manual way to update the Lambda functions. Which solution will meet this requirement?

- A. Store a pointer to the custom Python scripts in the execution context object in a shared Amazon S3 bucket.
- B. Package the custom Python scripts into Lambda layer
- C. Apply the Lambda layers to the Lambda functions.
- D. Store a pointer to the custom Python scripts in environment variables in a shared Amazon S3 bucket.
- E. Assign the same alias to each Lambda function
- F. Call each Lambda function by specifying the function's alias.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Lambda layers are a way to share code and dependencies across multiple Lambda functions. By packaging the custom Python scripts into Lambda layers, the data engineer can update the scripts in one place and have them automatically applied to all the Lambda functions that use the layer. This reduces the manual effort and ensures consistency across the Lambda functions. The other options are either not feasible or not efficient. Storing a pointer to the custom Python scripts in the execution context object or in environment variables would require the Lambda functions to download the scripts from Amazon S3 every time they are invoked, which would increase latency and cost. Assigning the same alias to each Lambda function would not help with updating the Python scripts, as the alias only points to a specific version of the Lambda function code. References:

? AWS Lambda layers

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide, Chapter 3: Data Ingestion and Transformation, Section 3.4: AWS Lambda

NEW QUESTION 4

A company uses AWS Step Functions to orchestrate a data pipeline. The pipeline consists of Amazon EMR jobs that ingest data from data sources and store the data in an Amazon S3 bucket. The pipeline also includes EMR jobs that load the data to Amazon Redshift.

The company's cloud infrastructure team manually built a Step Functions state machine. The cloud infrastructure team launched an EMR cluster into a VPC to support the EMR jobs. However, the deployed Step Functions state machine is not able to run the EMR jobs.

Which combination of steps should the company take to identify the reason the Step Functions state machine is not able to run the EMR jobs? (Choose two.)

- A. Use AWS CloudFormation to automate the Step Functions state machine deployment
- B. Create a step to pause the state machine during the EMR jobs that fail
- C. Configure the step to wait for a human user to send approval through an email message
- D. Include details of the EMR task in the email message for further analysis.
- E. Verify that the Step Functions state machine code has all IAM permissions that are necessary to create and run the EMR job
- F. Verify that the Step Functions state machine code also includes IAM permissions to access the Amazon S3 buckets that the EMR jobs use
- G. Use Access Analyzer for S3 to check the S3 access properties.
- H. Check for entries in Amazon CloudWatch for the newly created EMR cluster
- I. Change the AWS Step Functions state machine code to use Amazon EMR on EKS
- J. Change the IAM access policies and the security group configuration for the Step Functions state machine code to reflect inclusion of Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service (Amazon EKS).
- K. Query the flow logs for the VPC
- L. Determine whether the traffic that originates from the EMR cluster can successfully reach the data provider
- M. Determine whether any security group that might be attached to the Amazon EMR cluster allows connections to the data source servers on the informed ports.
- N. Check the retry scenarios that the company configured for the EMR job
- O. Increase the number of seconds in the interval between each EMR task
- P. Validate that each fallback state has the appropriate catch for each decision state
- Q. Configure an Amazon Simple Notification Service (Amazon SNS) topic to store the error messages.

Answer: BD

Explanation:

To identify the reason why the Step Functions state machine is not able to run the EMR jobs, the company should take the following steps:

? Verify that the Step Functions state machine code has all IAM permissions that are necessary to create and run the EMR jobs. The state machine code should have an IAM role that allows it to invoke the EMR APIs, such as RunJobFlow, AddJobFlowSteps, and DescribeStep. The state machine code should also have IAM permissions to access the Amazon S3 buckets that the EMR jobs use as input and output locations. The company can use Access Analyzer for S3 to check the access policies and permissions of the S3 buckets¹². Therefore, option B is correct.

? Query the flow logs for the VPC. The flow logs can provide information about the network traffic to and from the EMR cluster that is launched in the VPC. The company can use the flow logs to determine whether the traffic that originates from the EMR cluster can successfully reach the data providers, such as Amazon RDS, Amazon Redshift, or other external sources. The company can also determine whether any security group that might be attached to the EMR cluster allows connections to the data source servers on the informed ports. The company can use Amazon VPC Flow Logs or Amazon CloudWatch Logs Insights to query the flow logs³. Therefore, option D is correct.

Option A is incorrect because it suggests using AWS CloudFormation to automate the Step Functions state machine deployment. While this is a good practice to ensure consistency and repeatability of the deployment, it does not help to identify the reason why the state machine is not able to run the EMR jobs. Moreover, creating a step to pause the state machine during the EMR jobs that fail and wait for a human user to send approval through an email message is not a reliable way to troubleshoot the issue. The company should use the Step Functions console or API to monitor the execution history and status of the state machine, and use Amazon CloudWatch to view the logs and metrics of the EMR jobs. Option C is incorrect because it suggests changing the AWS Step Functions state machine code to use Amazon EMR on EKS. Amazon EMR on EKS is a service that allows you to run EMR jobs on Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service (Amazon EKS) clusters. While this service has some benefits, such as lower cost and faster execution time, it does not support all the features and integrations that EMR on EC2 does, such as EMR Notebooks, EMR Studio, and EMRFS. Therefore, changing the state machine code to use EMR on EKS may not be compatible with the existing data pipeline and may introduce new issues. Option E is incorrect because it suggests checking the retry scenarios that the company configured for the EMR jobs. While this is a good practice to handle transient failures and errors, it does not help to identify the root cause of why the state machine is not able to run the EMR jobs. Moreover, increasing the number of seconds in the interval between each EMR task may not improve the success rate of the jobs, and may increase the execution time and cost of the state machine. Configuring an Amazon SNS topic to store the error messages may help to notify the company of any failures, but it does not provide enough information to troubleshoot the issue.

References:

- ? 1: Manage an Amazon EMR Job - AWS Step Functions
- ? 2: Access Analyzer for S3 - Amazon Simple Storage Service
- ? 3: Working with Amazon EMR and VPC Flow Logs - Amazon EMR
- ? [4]: Analyzing VPC Flow Logs with Amazon CloudWatch Logs Insights - Amazon Virtual Private Cloud
- ? [5]: Monitor AWS Step Functions - AWS Step Functions
- ? [6]: Monitor Amazon EMR clusters - Amazon EMR
- ? [7]: Amazon EMR on Amazon EKS - Amazon EMR

NEW QUESTION 5

A company stores petabytes of data in thousands of Amazon S3 buckets in the S3 Standard storage class. The data supports analytics workloads that have unpredictable and variable data access patterns.

The company does not access some data for months. However, the company must be able to retrieve all data within milliseconds. The company needs to optimize S3 storage costs.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Use S3 Storage Lens standard metrics to determine when to move objects to more cost-optimized storage classes
- B. Create S3 Lifecycle policies for the S3 buckets to move objects to cost-optimized storage classes
- C. Continue to refine the S3 Lifecycle policies in the future to optimize storage costs.
- D. Use S3 Storage Lens activity metrics to identify S3 buckets that the company accesses infrequently
- E. Configure S3 Lifecycle rules to move objects from S3 Standard to the S3 Standard-Infrequent Access (S3 Standard-IA) and S3 Glacier storage classes based on the age of the data.
- F. Use S3 Intelligent-Tiering
- G. Activate the Deep Archive Access tier.
- H. Use S3 Intelligent-Tiering
- I. Use the default access tier.

Answer: D

Explanation:

S3 Intelligent-Tiering is a storage class that automatically moves objects between four access tiers based on the changing access patterns. The default access tier consists of two tiers: Frequent Access and Infrequent Access. Objects in the Frequent Access tier have the same performance and availability as S3 Standard, while objects in the Infrequent Access tier have the same performance and availability as S3 Standard-IA. S3 Intelligent-Tiering monitors the access patterns of each object and moves them between the tiers accordingly, without any operational overhead or retrieval fees. This solution can optimize S3 storage costs for data with unpredictable and variable access patterns, while ensuring millisecond latency for data retrieval. The other solutions are not optimal or relevant for this requirement. Using S3 Storage Lens standard metrics and activity metrics can provide insights into the storage usage and access patterns, but they do not automate the data movement between storage classes. Creating S3 Lifecycle policies for the S3 buckets can move objects to more cost-optimized storage classes, but they require manual configuration and maintenance, and they may incur retrieval fees for data that is accessed unexpectedly. Activating the Deep Archive Access tier for S3 Intelligent-Tiering can further reduce the storage costs for data that is rarely accessed, but it also increases the retrieval time to 12 hours, which does not meet the requirement of millisecond latency. References:

- ? S3 Intelligent-Tiering
- ? S3 Storage Lens
- ? S3 Lifecycle policies
- ? [AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide]

NEW QUESTION 6

A data engineer is configuring Amazon SageMaker Studio to use AWS Glue interactive sessions to prepare data for machine learning (ML) models. The data engineer receives an access denied error when the data engineer tries to prepare the data by using SageMaker Studio. Which change should the engineer make to gain access to SageMaker Studio?

- A. Add the AWSGlueServiceRole managed policy to the data engineer's IAM user.
- B. Add a policy to the data engineer's IAM user that includes the sts:AssumeRole action for the AWS Glue and SageMaker service principals in the trust policy.
- C. Add the AmazonSageMakerFullAccess managed policy to the data engineer's IAM user.
- D. Add a policy to the data engineer's IAM user that allows the sts:AddAssociation action for the AWS Glue and SageMaker service principals in the trust policy.

Answer: B

Explanation:

This solution meets the requirement of gaining access to SageMaker Studio to use AWS Glue interactive sessions. AWS Glue interactive sessions are a way to use AWS Glue DataBrew and AWS Glue Data Catalog from within SageMaker Studio. To use AWS Glue interactive sessions, the data engineer's IAM user needs to have permissions to assume the AWS Glue service role and the SageMaker execution role. By adding a policy to the data engineer's IAM user that includes the sts:AssumeRole action for the AWS Glue and SageMaker service principals in the trust policy, the data engineer can grant these permissions and avoid the access denied error. The other options are not sufficient or necessary to resolve the error. References:

- ? Get started with data integration from Amazon S3 to Amazon Redshift using AWS Glue interactive sessions
- ? Troubleshoot Errors - Amazon SageMaker
- ? AccessDeniedException on sagemaker:CreateDomain in AWS SageMaker Studio, despite having SageMakerFullAccess

NEW QUESTION 7

A data engineer must manage the ingestion of real-time streaming data into AWS. The data engineer wants to perform real-time analytics on the incoming streaming data by using time-based aggregations over a window of up to 30 minutes. The data engineer needs a solution that is highly fault tolerant. Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Use an AWS Lambda function that includes both the business and the analytics logic to perform time-based aggregations over a window of up to 30 minutes for the data in Amazon Kinesis Data Streams.
- B. Use Amazon Managed Service for Apache Flink (previously known as Amazon Kinesis Data Analytics) to analyze the data that might occasionally contain duplicates by using multiple types of aggregations.
- C. Use an AWS Lambda function that includes both the business and the analytics logic to perform aggregations for a tumbling window of up to 30 minutes, based on the event timestamp.
- D. Use Amazon Managed Service for Apache Flink (previously known as Amazon Kinesis Data Analytics) to analyze the data by using multiple types of aggregations to perform time-based analytics over a window of up to 30 minutes.

Answer: A

Explanation:

This solution meets the requirements of managing the ingestion of real-time streaming data into AWS and performing real-time analytics on the incoming streaming data with the least operational overhead. Amazon Managed Service for Apache Flink is a fully managed service that allows you to run Apache Flink applications without having to manage any infrastructure or clusters. Apache Flink is a framework for stateful stream processing that supports various types of aggregations, such as tumbling, sliding, and session windows, over streaming data. By using Amazon Managed Service for Apache Flink, you can easily connect to Amazon Kinesis Data Streams as the source and sink of your streaming data, and perform time-based analytics over a window of up to 30 minutes. This solution is also highly fault tolerant, as Amazon Managed Service for Apache Flink automatically scales, monitors, and restarts your Flink applications in case of failures. References:

- ? Amazon Managed Service for Apache Flink
- ? Apache Flink
- ? Window Aggregations in Flink

NEW QUESTION 8

A company created an extract, transform, and load (ETL) data pipeline in AWS Glue. A data engineer must crawl a table that is in Microsoft SQL Server. The data engineer needs to extract, transform, and load the output of the crawl to an Amazon S3 bucket. The data engineer also must orchestrate the data pipeline. Which AWS service or feature will meet these requirements MOST cost-effectively?

- A. AWS Step Functions
- B. AWS Glue workflows
- C. AWS Glue Studio
- D. Amazon Managed Workflows for Apache Airflow (Amazon MWAA)

Answer: B

Explanation:

AWS Glue workflows are a cost-effective way to orchestrate complex ETL jobs that involve multiple crawlers, jobs, and triggers. AWS Glue workflows allow you to visually monitor the progress and dependencies of your ETL tasks, and automatically handle errors and retries. AWS Glue workflows also integrate with other AWS services, such as Amazon S3, Amazon Redshift, and AWS Lambda, among others, enabling you to leverage these services for your data processing workflows. AWS Glue workflows are serverless, meaning you only pay for the resources you use, and you don't have to manage any infrastructure.

AWS Step Functions, AWS Glue Studio, and Amazon MWAA are also possible options for orchestrating ETL pipelines, but they have some drawbacks compared to AWS Glue workflows. AWS Step Functions is a serverless function orchestrator that can handle different types of data processing, such as real-time, batch, and stream processing. However, AWS Step Functions requires you to write code to define your state machines, which can be complex and error-prone. AWS Step Functions also charges you for every state transition, which can add up quickly for large-scale ETL pipelines.

AWS Glue Studio is a graphical interface that allows you to create and run AWS Glue ETL jobs without writing code. AWS Glue Studio simplifies the process of building, debugging, and monitoring your ETL jobs, and provides a range of pre-built transformations and connectors. However, AWS Glue Studio does not support workflows, meaning you cannot orchestrate multiple ETL jobs or crawlers with dependencies and triggers. AWS Glue Studio also does not support streaming data sources or targets, which limits its use cases for real-time data processing.

Amazon MWAA is a fully managed service that makes it easy to run open-source versions of Apache Airflow on AWS and build workflows to run your ETL jobs and data pipelines. Amazon MWAA provides a familiar and flexible environment for data engineers who are familiar with Apache Airflow, and integrates with a range of AWS services such as Amazon EMR, AWS Glue, and AWS Step Functions. However, Amazon MWAA is not serverless, meaning you have to provision and pay for the resources you need, regardless of your usage. Amazon MWAA also requires you to write code to define your DAGs, which can be challenging and time-consuming for complex ETL pipelines. References:

? AWS Glue Workflows

? AWS Step Functions

? AWS Glue Studio

? Amazon MWAA

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide

NEW QUESTION 9

A company receives .csv files that contain physical address data. The data is in columns that have the following names: Door_No, Street_Name, City, and Zip_Code. The company wants to create a single column to store these values in the following format:

```
{
  "Door_No": "24",
  "Street_Name": "AAA street",
  "City": "BBB",
  "Zip_Code": "111111"
}
```

Which solution will meet this requirement with the LEAST coding effort?

- A. Use AWS Glue DataBrew to read the file
- B. Use the NEST TO ARRAY transformation to create the new column.
- C. Use AWS Glue DataBrew to read the file
- D. Use the NEST TO MAP transformation to create the new column.
- E. Use AWS Glue DataBrew to read the file
- F. Use the PIVOT transformation to create the new column.
- G. Write a Lambda function in Python to read the file
- H. Use the Python data dictionary type to create the new column.

Answer: B

Explanation:

The NEST TO MAP transformation allows you to combine multiple columns into a single column that contains a JSON object with key-value pairs. This is the easiest way to achieve the desired format for the physical address data, as you can simply select the columns to nest and specify the keys for each column. The NEST TO ARRAY transformation creates a single column that contains an array of values, which is not the same as the JSON object format. The PIVOT transformation reshapes the data by creating new columns from unique values in a selected column, which is not applicable for this use case. Writing a Lambda function in Python requires more coding effort than using AWS Glue DataBrew, which provides a visual and interactive interface for data transformations.

References:

? 7 most common data preparation transformations in AWS Glue DataBrew (Section: Nesting and unnesting columns)

? NEST TO MAP - AWS Glue DataBrew (Section: Syntax)

NEW QUESTION 10

A data engineer needs to use an Amazon QuickSight dashboard that is based on Amazon Athena queries on data that is stored in an Amazon S3 bucket. When the data engineer connects to the QuickSight dashboard, the data engineer receives an error message that indicates insufficient permissions.

Which factors could cause the permissions-related errors? (Choose two.)

- A. There is no connection between QuickSight and Athena.
- B. The Athena tables are not cataloged.
- C. QuickSight does not have access to the S3 bucket.
- D. QuickSight does not have access to decrypt S3 data.
- E. There is no IAM role assigned to QuickSight.

Answer: CD

Explanation:

QuickSight does not have access to the S3 bucket and QuickSight does not have access to decrypt S3 data are two possible factors that could cause the permissions-related errors. Amazon QuickSight is a business intelligence service that allows you to create and share interactive dashboards based on various data sources, including Amazon Athena. Amazon Athena is a serverless query service that allows you to analyze data stored in Amazon S3 using standard SQL. To use an Amazon QuickSight dashboard that is based on Amazon Athena queries on data that is stored in an Amazon S3 bucket, you need to grant QuickSight access to both Athena and S3, as well as any encryption keys that are used to encrypt the S3 data. If QuickSight does not have access to the S3 bucket or the

encryption keys, it will not be able to read the data from Athena and display it on the dashboard, resulting in an error message that indicates insufficient permissions.

The other options are not factors that could cause the permissions-related errors. Option A, there is no connection between QuickSight and Athena, is not a factor, as QuickSight supports Athena as a native data source, and you can easily create a connection between them using the QuickSight console or the API. Option B, the Athena tables are not cataloged, is not a factor, as QuickSight can automatically discover the Athena tables that are cataloged in the AWS Glue Data Catalog, and you can also manually specify the Athena tables that are not cataloged. Option E, there is no IAM role assigned to QuickSight, is not a factor, as QuickSight requires an IAM role to access any AWS data sources, including Athena and S3, and you can create and assign an IAM role to QuickSight using the QuickSight console or the API. References:

? Using Amazon Athena as a Data Source

? Granting Amazon QuickSight Access to AWS Resources

? Encrypting Data at Rest in Amazon S3

NEW QUESTION 10

A manufacturing company wants to collect data from sensors. A data engineer needs to implement a solution that ingests sensor data in near real time.

The solution must store the data to a persistent data store. The solution must store the data in nested JSON format. The company must have the ability to query from the data store with a latency of less than 10 milliseconds.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Use a self-hosted Apache Kafka cluster to capture the sensor data
- B. Store the data in Amazon S3 for querying.
- C. Use AWS Lambda to process the sensor data
- D. Store the data in Amazon S3 for querying.
- E. Use Amazon Kinesis Data Streams to capture the sensor data
- F. Store the data in Amazon DynamoDB for querying.
- G. Use Amazon Simple Queue Service (Amazon SQS) to buffer incoming sensor data
- H. Use AWS Glue to store the data in Amazon RDS for querying.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Amazon Kinesis Data Streams is a service that enables you to collect, process, and analyze streaming data in real time. You can use Kinesis Data Streams to capture sensor data from various sources, such as IoT devices, web applications, or mobile apps. You can create data streams that can scale up to handle any amount of data from thousands of producers. You can also use the Kinesis Client Library (KCL) or the Kinesis Data Streams API to write applications that process and analyze the data in the streams¹. Amazon DynamoDB is a fully managed NoSQL database service that provides fast and predictable performance with seamless scalability. You can use DynamoDB to store the sensor data in nested JSON format, as DynamoDB supports document data types, such as lists and maps. You can also use DynamoDB to query the data with a latency of less than 10 milliseconds, as DynamoDB offers single-digit millisecond performance for any scale of data. You can use the DynamoDB API or the AWS SDKs to perform queries on the data, such as using key-value lookups, scans, or queries².

The solution that meets the requirements with the least operational overhead is to use Amazon Kinesis Data Streams to capture the sensor data and store the data in Amazon DynamoDB for querying. This solution has the following advantages:

? It does not require you to provision, manage, or scale any servers, clusters, or queues, as Kinesis Data Streams and DynamoDB are fully managed services that handle all the infrastructure for you. This reduces the operational complexity and cost of running your solution.

? It allows you to ingest sensor data in near real time, as Kinesis Data Streams can capture data records as they are produced and deliver them to your applications within seconds. You can also use Kinesis Data Firehose to load the data from the streams to DynamoDB automatically and continuously³.

? It allows you to store the data in nested JSON format, as DynamoDB supports document data types, such as lists and maps. You can also use DynamoDB Streams to capture changes in the data and trigger actions, such as sending notifications or updating other databases.

? It allows you to query the data with a latency of less than 10 milliseconds, as DynamoDB offers single-digit millisecond performance for any scale of data. You can also use DynamoDB Accelerator (DAX) to improve the read performance by caching frequently accessed data.

Option A is incorrect because it suggests using a self-hosted Apache Kafka cluster to capture the sensor data and store the data in Amazon S3 for querying. This solution has the following disadvantages:

? It requires you to provision, manage, and scale your own Kafka cluster, either on EC2 instances or on-premises servers. This increases the operational complexity and cost of running your solution.

? It does not allow you to query the data with a latency of less than 10 milliseconds, as Amazon S3 is an object storage service that is not optimized for low-latency queries. You need to use another service, such as Amazon Athena or Amazon Redshift Spectrum, to query the data in S3, which may incur additional costs and latency.

Option B is incorrect because it suggests using AWS Lambda to process the sensor data and store the data in Amazon S3 for querying. This solution has the following disadvantages:

? It does not allow you to ingest sensor data in near real time, as Lambda is a serverless compute service that runs code in response to events. You need to use another service, such as API Gateway or Kinesis Data Streams, to trigger Lambda functions with sensor data, which may add extra latency and complexity to your solution.

? It does not allow you to query the data with a latency of less than 10 milliseconds, as Amazon S3 is an object storage service that is not optimized for low-latency queries. You need to use another service, such as Amazon Athena or Amazon Redshift Spectrum, to query the data in S3, which may incur additional costs and latency.

Option D is incorrect because it suggests using Amazon Simple Queue Service (Amazon SQS) to buffer incoming sensor data and use AWS Glue to store the data in Amazon RDS for querying. This solution has the following disadvantages:

? It does not allow you to ingest sensor data in near real time, as Amazon SQS is a message queue service that delivers messages in a best-effort manner. You need to use another service, such as Lambda or EC2, to poll the messages from the queue and process them, which may add extra latency and complexity to your solution.

? It does not allow you to store the data in nested JSON format, as Amazon RDS is a relational database service that supports structured data types, such as tables and columns. You need to use another service, such as AWS Glue, to transform the data from JSON to relational format, which may add extra cost and overhead to your solution.

References:

? 1: Amazon Kinesis Data Streams - Features

? 2: Amazon DynamoDB - Features

? 3: Loading Streaming Data into Amazon DynamoDB - Amazon Kinesis Data Firehose

? [4]: Capturing Table Activity with DynamoDB Streams - Amazon DynamoDB

? [5]: Amazon DynamoDB Accelerator (DAX) - Features

? [6]: Amazon S3 - Features

? [7]: AWS Lambda - Features

? [8]: Amazon Simple Queue Service - Features

? [9]: Amazon Relational Database Service - Features

? [10]: Working with JSON in Amazon RDS - Amazon Relational Database Service

? [11]: AWS Glue - Features

NEW QUESTION 11

A company needs to set up a data catalog and metadata management for data sources that run in the AWS Cloud. The company will use the data catalog to maintain the metadata of all the objects that are in a set of data stores. The data stores include structured sources such as Amazon RDS and Amazon Redshift. The data stores also include semistructured sources such as JSON files and .xml files that are stored in Amazon S3. The company needs a solution that will update the data catalog on a regular basis. The solution also must detect changes to the source metadata. Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Use Amazon Aurora as the data catalog
- B. Create AWS Lambda functions that will connect to the data catalog
- C. Configure the Lambda functions to gather the metadata information from multiple sources and to update the Aurora data catalog
- D. Schedule the Lambda functions to run periodically.
- E. Use the AWS Glue Data Catalog as the central metadata repository
- F. Use AWS Glue crawlers to connect to multiple data stores and to update the Data Catalog with metadata change
- G. Schedule the crawlers to run periodically to update the metadata catalog.
- H. Use Amazon DynamoDB as the data catalog
- I. Create AWS Lambda functions that will connect to the data catalog
- J. Configure the Lambda functions to gather the metadata information from multiple sources and to update the DynamoDB data catalog
- K. Schedule the Lambda functions to run periodically.
- L. Use the AWS Glue Data Catalog as the central metadata repository
- M. Extract the schema for Amazon RDS and Amazon Redshift sources, and build the Data Catalog
- N. Use AWS Glue crawlers for data that is in Amazon S3 to infer the schema and to automatically update the Data Catalog.

Answer: B

Explanation:

This solution will meet the requirements with the least operational overhead because it uses the AWS Glue Data Catalog as the central metadata repository for data sources that run in the AWS Cloud. The AWS Glue Data Catalog is a fully managed service that provides a unified view of your data assets across AWS and on-premises data sources. It stores the metadata of your data in tables, partitions, and columns, and enables you to access and query your data using various AWS services, such as Amazon Athena, Amazon EMR, and Amazon Redshift Spectrum. You can use AWS Glue crawlers to connect to multiple data stores, such as Amazon RDS, Amazon Redshift, and Amazon S3, and to update the Data Catalog with metadata changes. AWS Glue crawlers can automatically discover the schema and partition structure of your data, and create or update the corresponding tables in the Data Catalog. You can schedule the crawlers to run periodically to update the metadata catalog, and configure them to detect changes to the source metadata, such as new columns, tables, or partitions¹².

The other options are not optimal for the following reasons:

? A. Use Amazon Aurora as the data catalog. Create AWS Lambda functions that will connect to the data catalog. Configure the Lambda functions to gather the metadata information from multiple sources and to update the Aurora data catalog. Schedule the Lambda functions to run periodically. This option is not recommended, as it would require more operational overhead to create and manage an Amazon Aurora database as the data catalog, and to write and maintain AWS Lambda functions to gather and update the metadata information from multiple sources. Moreover, this option would not leverage the benefits of the AWS Glue Data Catalog, such as data cataloging, data transformation, and data governance.

? C. Use Amazon DynamoDB as the data catalog. Create AWS Lambda functions that will connect to the data catalog. Configure the Lambda functions to gather the metadata information from multiple sources and to update the DynamoDB data catalog. Schedule the Lambda functions to run periodically. This option is also not recommended, as it would require more operational overhead to create and manage an Amazon DynamoDB table as the data catalog, and to write and maintain AWS Lambda functions to gather and update the metadata information from multiple sources. Moreover, this option would not leverage the benefits of the AWS Glue Data Catalog, such as data cataloging, data transformation, and data governance.

? D. Use the AWS Glue Data Catalog as the central metadata repository. Extract the schema for Amazon RDS and Amazon Redshift sources, and build the Data Catalog. Use AWS Glue crawlers for data that is in Amazon S3 to infer the schema and to automatically update the Data Catalog. This option is not optimal, as it would require more manual effort to extract the schema for Amazon RDS and Amazon Redshift sources, and to build the Data Catalog. This option would not take advantage of the AWS Glue crawlers' ability to automatically discover the schema and partition structure of your data from various data sources, and to create or update the corresponding tables in the Data Catalog.

References:

? 1: AWS Glue Data Catalog

? 2: AWS Glue Crawlers

? : Amazon Aurora

? : AWS Lambda

? : Amazon DynamoDB

NEW QUESTION 12

A security company stores IoT data that is in JSON format in an Amazon S3 bucket. The data structure can change when the company upgrades the IoT devices. The company wants to create a data catalog that includes the IoT data. The company's analytics department will use the data catalog to index the data. Which solution will meet these requirements MOST cost-effectively?

- A. Create an AWS Glue Data Catalog
- B. Configure an AWS Glue Schema Registry
- C. Create a new AWS Glue workload to orchestrate the ingestion of the data that the analytics department will use into Amazon Redshift Serverless.
- D. Create an Amazon Redshift provisioned cluster
- E. Create an Amazon Redshift Spectrum database for the analytics department to explore the data that is in Amazon S3. Create Redshift stored procedures to load the data into Amazon Redshift.
- F. Create an Amazon Athena workgroup
- G. Explore the data that is in Amazon S3 by using Apache Spark through Athena
- H. Provide the Athena workgroup schema and tables to the analytics department.
- I. Create an AWS Glue Data Catalog
- J. Configure an AWS Glue Schema Registry
- K. Create AWS Lambda user defined functions (UDFs) by using the Amazon Redshift Data API
- L. Create an AWS Step Functions job to orchestrate the ingestion of the data that the analytics department will use into Amazon Redshift Serverless.

Answer: C

Explanation:

The best solution to meet the requirements of creating a data catalog that includes the IoT data, and allowing the analytics department to index the data, most cost-effectively, is to create an Amazon Athena workgroup, explore the data that is in Amazon S3 by using Apache Spark through Athena, and provide the Athena workgroup schema and tables to the analytics department.

Amazon Athena is a serverless, interactive query service that makes it easy to analyze data directly in Amazon S3 using standard SQL or Python¹. Amazon

Athena also supports Apache Spark, an open-source distributed processing framework that can run large-scale data analytics applications across clusters of servers². You can use Athena to run Spark code on data in Amazon S3 without having to set up, manage, or scale any infrastructure. You can also use Athena to create and manage external tables that point to your data in Amazon S3, and store them in an external data catalog, such as AWS Glue Data Catalog, Amazon Athena Data Catalog, or your own Apache Hive metastore³. You can create Athena workgroups to separate query execution and resource allocation based on different criteria, such as users, teams, or applications⁴. You can share the schemas and tables in your Athena workgroup with other users or applications, such as Amazon QuickSight, for data visualization and analysis⁵.

Using Athena and Spark to create a data catalog and explore the IoT data in Amazon S3 is the most cost-effective solution, as you pay only for the queries you run or the compute you use, and you pay nothing when the service is idle¹. You also save on the operational overhead and complexity of managing data warehouse infrastructure, as Athena and Spark are serverless and scalable. You can also benefit from the flexibility and performance of Athena and Spark, as they support various data formats, including JSON, and can handle schema changes and complex queries efficiently.

Option A is not the best solution, as creating an AWS Glue Data Catalog, configuring an AWS Glue Schema Registry, creating a new AWS Glue workload to orchestrate the ingestion of the data that the analytics department will use into Amazon Redshift Serverless, would incur more costs and complexity than using Athena and Spark. AWS Glue Data Catalog is a persistent metadata store that contains table definitions, job definitions, and other control information to help you manage your AWS Glue components⁶. AWS Glue Schema Registry is a service that allows you to centrally store and manage the schemas of your streaming data in AWS Glue Data Catalog⁷. AWS Glue is a serverless data integration service that makes it easy to prepare, clean, enrich, and move data between data stores⁸. Amazon Redshift Serverless is a feature of Amazon Redshift, a fully managed data warehouse service, that allows you to run and scale analytics without having to manage data warehouse infrastructure⁹. While these services are powerful and useful for many data engineering scenarios, they are not necessary or cost-effective for creating a data catalog and indexing the IoT data in Amazon S3. AWS Glue Data Catalog and Schema Registry charge you based on the number of objects stored and the number of requests made^{6,7}. AWS Glue charges you based on the compute time and the data processed by your ETL jobs⁸. Amazon Redshift Serverless charges you based on the amount of data scanned by your queries and the compute time used by your workloads⁹. These costs can add up quickly, especially if you have large volumes of IoT data and frequent schema changes. Moreover, using AWS Glue and Amazon Redshift Serverless would introduce additional latency and complexity, as you would have to ingest the data from Amazon S3 to Amazon Redshift Serverless, and then query it from there, instead of querying it directly from Amazon S3 using Athena and Spark.

Option B is not the best solution, as creating an Amazon Redshift provisioned cluster, creating an Amazon Redshift Spectrum database for the analytics department to explore the data that is in Amazon S3, and creating Redshift stored procedures to load the data into Amazon Redshift, would incur more costs and complexity than using Athena and Spark. Amazon Redshift provisioned clusters are clusters that you create and manage by specifying the number and type of nodes, and the amount of storage and compute capacity¹⁰. Amazon Redshift Spectrum is a feature of Amazon Redshift that allows you to query and join data across your data warehouse and your data lake using standard SQL¹¹. Redshift stored procedures are SQL statements that you can define and store in Amazon Redshift, and then call them by using the CALL command¹². While these features are powerful and useful for many data warehousing scenarios, they are not necessary or cost-effective for creating a data catalog and indexing the IoT data in Amazon S3. Amazon Redshift provisioned clusters charge you based on the node type, the number of nodes, and the duration of the cluster¹⁰. Amazon Redshift Spectrum charges you based on the amount of data scanned by your queries¹¹. These costs can add up quickly, especially if you have large volumes of IoT data and frequent schema changes. Moreover, using Amazon Redshift provisioned clusters and Spectrum would introduce additional latency and complexity, as you would have to provision and manage the cluster, create an external schema and database for the data in Amazon S3, and load the data into the cluster using stored procedures, instead of querying it directly from Amazon S3 using Athena and Spark. Option D is not the best solution, as creating an AWS Glue Data Catalog, configuring an AWS Glue Schema Registry, creating AWS Lambda user defined functions (UDFs) by using the Amazon Redshift Data API, and creating an AWS Step Functions job to orchestrate the ingestion of the data that the analytics department will use into Amazon Redshift Serverless, would incur more costs and complexity than using Athena and Spark. AWS Lambda is a serverless compute service that lets you run code without provisioning or managing servers¹³. AWS Lambda UDFs are Lambda functions that you can invoke from within an Amazon Redshift query. Amazon Redshift Data API is a service that allows you to run SQL statements on Amazon Redshift clusters using HTTP requests, without needing a persistent connection. AWS Step Functions is a service that lets you coordinate multiple AWS services into serverless workflows. While these services are powerful and useful for many data engineering scenarios, they are not necessary or cost-effective for creating a data catalog and indexing the IoT data in Amazon S3. AWS Glue Data Catalog and Schema Registry charge you based on the number of objects stored and the number of requests made^{6,7}. AWS Lambda charges you based on the number of requests and the duration of your functions¹³. Amazon Redshift Serverless charges you based on the amount of data scanned by your queries and the compute time used by your workloads⁹. AWS Step Functions charges you based on the number of state transitions in your workflows. These costs can add up quickly, especially if you have large volumes of IoT data and frequent schema changes. Moreover, using AWS Glue, AWS Lambda, Amazon Redshift Data API, and AWS Step Functions would introduce additional latency and complexity, as you would have to create and invoke Lambda functions to ingest the data from Amazon S3 to Amazon Redshift Serverless using the Data API, and coordinate the ingestion process using Step Functions, instead of querying it directly from Amazon S3 using Athena and Spark. References:

- ? What is Amazon Athena?
- ? Apache Spark on Amazon Athena
- ? Creating tables, updating the schema, and adding new partitions in the Data Catalog from AWS Glue ETL jobs
- ? Managing Athena workgroups
- ? Using Amazon QuickSight to visualize data in Amazon Athena
- ? AWS Glue Data Catalog
- ? AWS Glue Schema Registry
- ? What is AWS Glue?
- ? Amazon Redshift Serverless
- ? Amazon Redshift provisioned clusters
- ? Querying external data using Amazon Redshift Spectrum
- ? Using stored procedures in Amazon Redshift
- ? What is AWS Lambda?
- ? [Creating and using AWS Lambda UDFs]
- ? [Using the Amazon Redshift Data API]
- ? [What is AWS Step Functions?]
- ? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide

NEW QUESTION 15

A data engineer must orchestrate a data pipeline that consists of one AWS Lambda function and one AWS Glue job. The solution must integrate with AWS services.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST management overhead?

- A. Use an AWS Step Functions workflow that includes a state machine
- B. Configure the state machine to run the Lambda function and then the AWS Glue job.
- C. Use an Apache Airflow workflow that is deployed on an Amazon EC2 instance
- D. Define a directed acyclic graph (DAG) in which the first task is to call the Lambda function and the second task is to call the AWS Glue job.
- E. Use an AWS Glue workflow to run the Lambda function and then the AWS Glue job.
- F. Use an Apache Airflow workflow that is deployed on Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service (Amazon EKS). Define a directed acyclic graph (DAG) in which the first task is to call the Lambda function and the second task is to call the AWS Glue job.

Answer: A

Explanation:

AWS Step Functions is a service that allows you to coordinate multiple AWS services into serverless workflows. You can use Step Functions to create state machines that define the sequence and logic of the tasks in your workflow. Step Functions supports various types of tasks, such as Lambda functions, AWS Glue jobs, Amazon EMR clusters, Amazon ECS tasks, etc. You can use Step Functions to monitor and troubleshoot your workflows, as well as to handle errors and retries.

Using an AWS Step Functions workflow that includes a state machine to run the Lambda function and then the AWS Glue job will meet the requirements with the least management overhead, as it leverages the serverless and managed capabilities of Step Functions. You do not need to write any code to orchestrate the tasks in your workflow, as you can use the Step Functions console or the AWS Serverless Application Model (AWS SAM) to define and deploy your state machine. You also do not need to provision or manage any servers or clusters, as Step Functions scales automatically based on the demand.

The other options are not as efficient as using an AWS Step Functions workflow. Using an Apache Airflow workflow that is deployed on an Amazon EC2 instance or on Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service (Amazon EKS) will require more management overhead, as you will need to provision, configure, and maintain the EC2 instance or the EKS cluster, as well as the Airflow components. You will also need to write and maintain the Airflow DAGs to orchestrate the tasks in your workflow. Using an AWS Glue workflow to run the Lambda function and then the AWS Glue job will not work, as AWS Glue workflows only support AWS Glue jobs and crawlers as tasks, not Lambda functions. References:

? AWS Step Functions

? AWS Glue

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide, Chapter 6: Data Integration and Transformation, Section 6.3: AWS Step Functions

NEW QUESTION 20

A company uses Amazon RDS for MySQL as the database for a critical application. The database workload is mostly writes, with a small number of reads.

A data engineer notices that the CPU utilization of the DB instance is very high. The high CPU utilization is slowing down the application. The data engineer must reduce the CPU utilization of the DB Instance.

Which actions should the data engineer take to meet this requirement? (Choose two.)

- A. Use the Performance Insights feature of Amazon RDS to identify queries that have high CPU utilization
- B. Optimize the problematic queries.
- C. Modify the database schema to include additional tables and indexes.
- D. Reboot the RDS DB instance once each week.
- E. Upgrade to a larger instance size.
- F. Implement caching to reduce the database query load.

Answer: AE

Explanation:

Amazon RDS is a fully managed service that provides relational databases in the cloud. Amazon RDS for MySQL is one of the supported database engines that you can use to run your applications. Amazon RDS provides various features and tools to monitor and optimize the performance of your DB instances, such as Performance Insights, Enhanced Monitoring, CloudWatch metrics and alarms, etc.

Using the Performance Insights feature of Amazon RDS to identify queries that have high CPU utilization and optimizing the problematic queries will help reduce the CPU utilization of the DB instance. Performance Insights is a feature that allows you to analyze the load on your DB instance and determine what is causing performance issues. Performance Insights collects, analyzes, and displays database performance data using an interactive dashboard. You can use Performance Insights to identify the top SQL statements, hosts, users, or processes that are consuming the most CPU resources. You can also drill down into the details of each query and see the execution plan, wait events, locks, etc. By using Performance Insights, you can pinpoint the root cause of the high CPU utilization and optimize the queries accordingly. For example, you can rewrite the queries to make them more efficient, add or remove indexes, use prepared statements, etc. Implementing caching to reduce the database query load will also help reduce the CPU utilization of the DB instance. Caching is a technique that allows you to store frequently accessed data in a fast and scalable storage layer, such as Amazon ElastiCache. By using caching, you can reduce the number of requests that hit your database, which in turn reduces the CPU load on your DB instance. Caching also improves the performance and availability of your application, as it reduces the latency and increases the throughput of your data access. You can use caching for various scenarios, such as storing session data, user preferences, application configuration, etc. You can also use caching for read-heavy workloads, such as displaying product details, recommendations, reviews, etc.

The other options are not as effective as using Performance Insights and caching. Modifying the database schema to include additional tables and indexes may or may not improve the CPU utilization, depending on the nature of the workload and the queries. Adding more tables and indexes may increase the complexity and overhead of the database, which may negatively affect the performance. Rebooting the RDS DB instance once each week will not reduce the CPU utilization, as it will not address the underlying cause of the high CPU load. Rebooting may also cause downtime and disruption to your application. Upgrading to a larger instance size may reduce the CPU utilization, but it will also increase the cost and complexity of your solution. Upgrading may also not be necessary if you can optimize the queries and reduce the database load by using caching. References:

? Amazon RDS

? Performance Insights

? Amazon ElastiCache

? [AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide], Chapter 3: Data Storage and Management, Section 3.1: Amazon RDS

NEW QUESTION 22

A financial services company stores financial data in Amazon Redshift. A data engineer wants to run real-time queries on the financial data to support a web-based trading application. The data engineer wants to run the queries from within the trading application.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Establish WebSocket connections to Amazon Redshift.
- B. Use the Amazon Redshift Data API.
- C. Set up Java Database Connectivity (JDBC) connections to Amazon Redshift.
- D. Store frequently accessed data in Amazon S3. Use Amazon S3 Select to run the queries.

Answer: B

Explanation:

The Amazon Redshift Data API is a built-in feature that allows you to run SQL queries on Amazon Redshift data with web services-based applications, such as AWS Lambda, Amazon SageMaker notebooks, and AWS Cloud9. The Data API does not require a persistent connection to your database, and it provides a secure HTTP endpoint and integration with AWS SDKs. You can use the endpoint to run SQL statements without managing connections. The Data API also supports both Amazon Redshift provisioned clusters and Redshift Serverless workgroups. The Data API is the best solution for running real-time queries on the financial data from within the trading application, as it has the least operational overhead compared to the other options.

Option A is not the best solution, as establishing WebSocket connections to Amazon Redshift would require more configuration and maintenance than using the Data API. WebSocket connections are also not supported by Amazon Redshift clusters or serverless workgroups.

Option C is not the best solution, as setting up JDBC connections to Amazon Redshift would also require more configuration and maintenance than using the Data API. JDBC connections are also not supported by Redshift Serverless workgroups.

Option D is not the best solution, as storing frequently accessed data in Amazon S3 and using Amazon S3 Select to run the queries would introduce additional

latency and complexity than using the Data API. Amazon S3 Select is also not optimized for real-time queries, as it scans the entire object before returning the results. References:

? Using the Amazon Redshift Data API

? Calling the Data API

? Amazon Redshift Data API Reference

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide

NEW QUESTION 26

A data engineer needs to build an extract, transform, and load (ETL) job. The ETL job will process daily incoming .csv files that users upload to an Amazon S3 bucket. The size of each S3 object is less than 100 MB.

Which solution will meet these requirements MOST cost-effectively?

- A. Write a custom Python applicatio
- B. Host the application on an Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service (Amazon EKS) cluster.
- C. Write a PySpark ETL scrip
- D. Host the script on an Amazon EMR cluster.
- E. Write an AWS Glue PySpark jo
- F. Use Apache Spark to transform the data.
- G. Write an AWS Glue Python shell jo
- H. Use pandas to transform the data.

Answer: D

Explanation:

AWS Glue is a fully managed serverless ETL service that can handle various data sources and formats, including .csv files in Amazon S3. AWS Glue provides two types of jobs: PySpark and Python shell. PySpark jobs use Apache Spark to process large-scale data in parallel, while Python shell jobs use Python scripts to process small-scale data in a single execution environment. For this requirement, a Python shell job is more suitable and cost-effective, as the size of each S3 object is less than 100 MB, which does not require distributed processing. A Python shell job can use pandas, a popular Python library for data analysis, to transform the .csv data as needed. The other solutions are not optimal or relevant for this requirement. Writing a custom Python application and hosting it on an Amazon EKS cluster would require more effort and resources to set up and manage the Kubernetes environment, as well as to handle the data ingestion and transformation logic. Writing a PySpark ETL script and hosting it on an Amazon EMR cluster would also incur more costs and complexity to provision and configure the EMR cluster, as well as to use Apache Spark for processing small data files. Writing an AWS Glue PySpark job would also be less efficient and economical than a Python shell job, as it would involve unnecessary overhead and charges for using Apache Spark for small data files. References:

? AWS Glue

? Working with Python Shell Jobs

? pandas

? [AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide]

NEW QUESTION 27

A company stores data from an application in an Amazon DynamoDB table that operates in provisioned capacity mode. The workloads of the application have predictable throughput load on a regular schedule. Every Monday, there is an immediate increase in activity early in the morning. The application has very low usage during weekends.

The company must ensure that the application performs consistently during peak usage times

Which solution will meet these requirements in the MOST cost-effective way?

- A. Increase the provisioned capacity to the maximum capacity that is currently present during peak load times.
- B. Divide the table into two table
- C. Provision each table with half of the provisioned capacity of the original tabl
- D. Spread queries evenly across both tables.
- E. Use AWS Application Auto Scaling to schedule higher provisioned capacity for peak usage time
- F. Schedule lower capacity during off-peak times.
- G. Change the capacity mode from provisioned to on-deman
- H. Configure the table to scale up and scale down based on the load on the table.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Amazon DynamoDB is a fully managed NoSQL database service that provides fast and predictable performance with seamless scalability. DynamoDB offers two capacity modes for throughput capacity: provisioned and on-demand. In provisioned capacity mode, you specify the number of read and write capacity units per second that you expect your application to require. DynamoDB reserves the resources to meet your throughput needs with consistent performance. In on-demand capacity mode, you pay per request and DynamoDB scales the resources up and down automatically based on the actual workload. On-demand capacity mode is suitable for unpredictable workloads that can vary significantly over time¹.

The solution that meets the requirements in the most cost-effective way is to use AWS Application Auto Scaling to schedule higher provisioned capacity for peak usage times and lower capacity during off-peak times. This solution has the following advantages:

? It allows you to optimize the cost and performance of your DynamoDB table by adjusting the provisioned capacity according to your predictable workload patterns. You can use scheduled scaling to specify the date and time for the scaling actions, and the new minimum and maximum capacity limits. For example, you can schedule higher capacity for every Monday morning and lower capacity for weekends².

? It enables you to take advantage of the lower cost per unit of provisioned capacity mode compared to on-demand capacity mode. Provisioned capacity mode charges a flat hourly rate for the capacity you reserve, regardless of how much you use. On-demand capacity mode charges for each read and write request you consume, with no minimum capacity required. For predictable workloads, provisioned capacity mode can be more cost-effective than on-demand capacity mode¹.

? It ensures that your application performs consistently during peak usage times by having enough capacity to handle the increased load. You can also use auto scaling to automatically adjust the provisioned capacity based on the actual utilization of your table, and set a target utilization percentage for your table or global secondary index. This way, you can avoid under-provisioning or over-provisioning your table².

Option A is incorrect because it suggests increasing the provisioned capacity to the maximum capacity that is currently present during peak load times. This solution has the following disadvantages:

? It wastes money by paying for unused capacity during off-peak times. If you provision the same high capacity for all times, regardless of the actual workload, you are over-provisioning your table and paying for resources that you don't need¹.

? It does not account for possible changes in the workload patterns over time. If your peak load times increase or decrease in the future, you may need to manually adjust the provisioned capacity to match the new demand. This adds operational overhead and complexity to your application².

Option B is incorrect because it suggests dividing the table into two tables and provisioning each table with half of the provisioned capacity of the original table.

This solution has the following disadvantages:

? It complicates the data model and the application logic by splitting the data into two separate tables. You need to ensure that the queries are evenly distributed across both tables, and that the data is consistent and synchronized between them. This adds extra development and maintenance effort to your application³.

? It does not solve the problem of adjusting the provisioned capacity according to the workload patterns. You still need to manually or automatically scale the capacity of each table based on the actual utilization and demand. This may result in under- provisioning or over-provisioning your tables².

Option D is incorrect because it suggests changing the capacity mode from provisioned to on-demand. This solution has the following disadvantages:

? It may incur higher costs than provisioned capacity mode for predictable workloads. On-demand capacity mode charges for each read and write request you consume, with no minimum capacity required. For predictable workloads, provisioned capacity mode can be more cost-effective than on-demand capacity mode, as you can reserve the capacity you need at a lower rate¹.

? It may not provide consistent performance during peak usage times, as on-demand capacity mode may take some time to scale up the resources to meet the sudden increase in demand. On-demand capacity mode uses adaptive capacity to handle bursts of traffic, but it may not be able to handle very large spikes or sustained high throughput. In such cases, you may experience throttling or increased latency.

References:

? 1: Choosing the right DynamoDB capacity mode - Amazon DynamoDB

? 2: Managing throughput capacity automatically with DynamoDB auto scaling - Amazon DynamoDB

? 3: Best practices for designing and using partition keys effectively - Amazon DynamoDB

? [4]: On-demand mode guidelines - Amazon DynamoDB

? [5]: How to optimize Amazon DynamoDB costs - AWS Database Blog

? [6]: DynamoDB adaptive capacity: How it works and how it helps - AWS Database Blog

? [7]: Amazon DynamoDB pricing - Amazon Web Services (AWS)

NEW QUESTION 31

A company has used an Amazon Redshift table that is named Orders for 6 months. The company performs weekly updates and deletes on the table. The table has an interleaved sort key on a column that contains AWS Regions. The company wants to reclaim disk space so that the company will not run out of storage space. The company also wants to analyze the sort key column. Which Amazon Redshift command will meet these requirements?

- A. VACUUM FULL Orders
- B. VACUUM DELETE ONLY Orders
- C. VACUUM REINDEX Orders
- D. VACUUM SORT ONLY Orders

Answer: C

Explanation:

Amazon Redshift is a fully managed, petabyte-scale data warehouse service that enables fast and cost-effective analysis of large volumes of data. Amazon Redshift uses columnar storage, compression, and zone maps to optimize the storage and performance of data. However, over time, as data is inserted, updated, or deleted, the physical storage of data can become fragmented, resulting in wasted disk space and degraded query performance. To address this issue, Amazon Redshift provides the VACUUM command, which reclaims disk space and resorts rows in either a specified table or all tables in the current schema¹.

The VACUUM command has four options: FULL, DELETE ONLY, SORT ONLY, and REINDEX. The option that best meets the requirements of the question is VACUUM REINDEX, which re-sorts the rows in a table that has an interleaved sort key and rewrites the table to a new location on disk. An interleaved sort key is a type of sort key that gives equal weight to each column in the sort key, and stores the rows in a way that optimizes the performance of queries that filter by multiple columns in the sort key. However, as data is added or changed, the interleaved sort order can become skewed, resulting in suboptimal query performance. The VACUUM REINDEX option restores the optimal interleaved sort order and reclaims disk space by removing deleted rows. This option also analyzes the sort key column and updates the table statistics, which are used by the query optimizer to generate the most efficient query execution plan²³.

The other options are not optimal for the following reasons:

? A. VACUUM FULL Orders. This option reclaims disk space by removing deleted rows and resorts the entire table. However, this option is not suitable for tables that have an interleaved sort key, as it does not restore the optimal interleaved sort order. Moreover, this option is the most resource-intensive and time-consuming, as it rewrites the entire table to a new location on disk.

? B. VACUUM DELETE ONLY Orders. This option reclaims disk space by removing deleted rows, but does not resort the table. This option is not suitable for tables that have any sort key, as it does not improve the query performance by restoring the sort order. Moreover, this option does not analyze the sort key column and update the table statistics.

? D. VACUUM SORT ONLY Orders. This option resorts the entire table, but does not reclaim disk space by removing deleted rows. This option is not suitable for tables that have an interleaved sort key, as it does not restore the optimal interleaved sort order. Moreover, this option does not analyze the sort key column and update the table statistics.

References:

- ? 1: Amazon Redshift VACUUM
- ? 2: Amazon Redshift Interleaved Sorting
- ? 3: Amazon Redshift ANALYZE

NEW QUESTION 36

A data engineering team is using an Amazon Redshift data warehouse for operational reporting. The team wants to prevent performance issues that might result from long- running queries. A data engineer must choose a system table in Amazon Redshift to record anomalies when a query optimizer identifies conditions that might indicate performance issues. Which table views should the data engineer use to meet this requirement?

- A. STL USAGE CONTROL
- B. STL ALERT EVENT LOG
- C. STL QUERY METRICS
- D. STL PLAN INFO

Answer: B

Explanation:

The STL ALERT EVENT LOG table view records anomalies when the query optimizer identifies conditions that might indicate performance issues. These conditions include skewed data distribution, missing statistics, nested loop joins, and broadcasted data. The STL ALERT EVENT LOG table view can help the data engineer to identify and troubleshoot the root causes of performance issues and optimize the query execution plan. The other table views are not relevant for this requirement. STL USAGE CONTROL records the usage limits and quotas for Amazon Redshift resources. STL QUERY METRICS records the execution time and resource consumption of queries. STL PLAN INFO records the query execution plan and the steps involved in each query. References:

? STL ALERT EVENT LOG

? System Tables and Views

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide

NEW QUESTION 38

A company is migrating on-premises workloads to AWS. The company wants to reduce overall operational overhead. The company also wants to explore serverless options.

The company's current workloads use Apache Pig, Apache Oozie, Apache Spark, Apache Hbase, and Apache Flink. The on-premises workloads process petabytes of data in seconds. The company must maintain similar or better performance after the migration to AWS.

Which extract, transform, and load (ETL) service will meet these requirements?

- A. AWS Glue
- B. Amazon EMR
- C. AWS Lambda
- D. Amazon Redshift

Answer: A

Explanation:

AWS Glue is a fully managed serverless ETL service that can handle petabytes of data in seconds. AWS Glue can run Apache Spark and Apache Flink jobs without requiring any infrastructure provisioning or management. AWS Glue can also integrate with Apache Pig, Apache Oozie, and Apache Hbase using AWS Glue Data Catalog and AWS Glue workflows. AWS Glue can reduce the overall operational overhead by automating the data discovery, data preparation, and data loading processes. AWS Glue can also optimize the cost and performance of ETL jobs by using AWS Glue Job Bookmarking, AWS Glue Crawlers, and AWS Glue Schema Registry. References:

- ? AWS Glue
- ? AWS Glue Data Catalog
- ? AWS Glue Workflows
- ? [AWS Glue Job Bookmarking]
- ? [AWS Glue Crawlers]
- ? [AWS Glue Schema Registry]
- ? [AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide]

NEW QUESTION 39

A manufacturing company collects sensor data from its factory floor to monitor and enhance operational efficiency. The company uses Amazon Kinesis Data Streams to publish the data that the sensors collect to a data stream. Then Amazon Kinesis Data Firehose writes the data to an Amazon S3 bucket.

The company needs to display a real-time view of operational efficiency on a large screen in the manufacturing facility.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LOWEST latency?

- A. Use Amazon Managed Service for Apache Flink (previously known as Amazon Kinesis Data Analytics) to process the sensor data.
- B. Use a connector for Apache Flink to write data to an Amazon Timestream database.
- C. Use the Timestream database as a source to create a Grafana dashboard.
- D. Configure the S3 bucket to send a notification to an AWS Lambda function when any new object is created.
- E. Use the Lambda function to publish the data to Amazon Aurora.
- F. Use Aurora as a source to create an Amazon QuickSight dashboard.
- G. Use Amazon Managed Service for Apache Flink (previously known as Amazon Kinesis Data Analytics) to process the sensor data.
- H. Create a new Data Firehose delivery stream to publish data directly to an Amazon Timestream database.
- I. Use the Timestream database as a source to create an Amazon QuickSight dashboard.
- J. Use AWS Glue bookmarks to read sensor data from the S3 bucket in real time.
- K. Publish the data to an Amazon Timestream database.
- L. Use the Timestream database as a source to create a Grafana dashboard.

Answer: C

Explanation:

This solution will meet the requirements with the lowest latency because it uses Amazon Managed Service for Apache Flink to process the sensor data in real time and write it to Amazon Timestream, a fast, scalable, and serverless time series database. Amazon Timestream is optimized for storing and analyzing time series data, such as sensor data, and can handle trillions of events per day with millisecond latency. By using Amazon Timestream as a source, you can create an Amazon QuickSight dashboard that displays a real-time view of operational efficiency on a large screen in the manufacturing facility. Amazon QuickSight is a fully managed business intelligence service that can connect to various data sources, including Amazon Timestream, and provide interactive visualizations and insights.

The other options are not optimal for the following reasons:

? A. Use Amazon Managed Service for Apache Flink (previously known as Amazon Kinesis Data Analytics) to process the sensor data. Use a connector for Apache Flink to write data to an Amazon Timestream database. Use the Timestream database as a source to create a Grafana dashboard. This option is similar to option C, but it uses Grafana instead of Amazon QuickSight to create the dashboard. Grafana is an open source visualization tool that can also connect to Amazon Timestream, but it requires additional steps to set up and configure, such as deploying a Grafana server on Amazon EC2, installing the Amazon Timestream plugin, and creating an IAM role for Grafana to access Timestream. These steps can increase the latency and complexity of the solution.

? B. Configure the S3 bucket to send a notification to an AWS Lambda function when any new object is created. Use the Lambda function to publish the data to Amazon Aurora. Use Aurora as a source to create an Amazon QuickSight dashboard. This option is not suitable for displaying a real-time view of operational efficiency, as it introduces unnecessary delays and costs in the data pipeline. First, the sensor data is written to an S3 bucket by Amazon Kinesis Data Firehose, which can have a buffering interval of up to 900 seconds. Then, the S3 bucket sends a notification to a Lambda function, which can incur additional invocation and execution time. Finally, the Lambda function publishes the data to Amazon Aurora, a relational database that is not optimized for time series data and can have higher storage and performance costs than Amazon Timestream.

? D. Use AWS Glue bookmarks to read sensor data from the S3 bucket in real time.

Publish the data to an Amazon Timestream database. Use the Timestream database as a source to create a Grafana dashboard. This option is also not suitable for displaying a real-time view of operational efficiency, as it uses AWS Glue bookmarks to read sensor data from the S3 bucket. AWS Glue bookmarks are a feature that helps AWS Glue jobs and crawlers keep track of the data that has already been processed, so that they can resume from where they left off. However, AWS Glue jobs and crawlers are not designed for real-time data processing, as they can have a minimum frequency of 5 minutes and a variable start-up time. Moreover, this option also uses Grafana instead of Amazon QuickSight to create the dashboard, which can increase the latency and complexity of the solution.

References:

- ? 1: Amazon Managed Streaming for Apache Flink
- ? 2: Amazon Timestream
- ? 3: Amazon QuickSight
- ? : Analyze data in Amazon Timestream using Grafana

? : Amazon Kinesis Data Firehose
? : Amazon Aurora
? : AWS Glue Bookmarks
? : AWS Glue Job and Crawler Scheduling

NEW QUESTION 42

A company stores daily records of the financial performance of investment portfolios in .csv format in an Amazon S3 bucket. A data engineer uses AWS Glue crawlers to crawl the S3 data.

The data engineer must make the S3 data accessible daily in the AWS Glue Data Catalog. Which solution will meet these requirements?

- A. Create an IAM role that includes the AmazonS3FullAccess policy
- B. Associate the role with the crawler
- C. Specify the S3 bucket path of the source data as the crawler's data store
- D. Create a daily schedule to run the crawler
- E. Configure the output destination to a new path in the existing S3 bucket.
- F. Create an IAM role that includes the AWSGlueServiceRole policy
- G. Associate the role with the crawler
- H. Specify the S3 bucket path of the source data as the crawler's data store
- I. Create a daily schedule to run the crawler
- J. Specify a database name for the output.
- K. Create an IAM role that includes the AmazonS3FullAccess policy
- L. Associate the role with the crawler
- M. Specify the S3 bucket path of the source data as the crawler's data store
- N. Allocate data processing units (DPUs) to run the crawler every day
- O. Specify a database name for the output.
- P. Create an IAM role that includes the AWSGlueServiceRole policy
- Q. Associate the role with the crawler
- R. Specify the S3 bucket path of the source data as the crawler's data store
- S. Allocate data processing units (DPUs) to run the crawler every day
- T. Configure the output destination to a new path in the existing S3 bucket.

Answer: B

Explanation:

To make the S3 data accessible daily in the AWS Glue Data Catalog, the data engineer needs to create a crawler that can crawl the S3 data and write the metadata to the Data Catalog. The crawler also needs to run on a daily schedule to keep the Data Catalog updated with the latest data. Therefore, the solution must include the following steps:

? Create an IAM role that has the necessary permissions to access the S3 data and

the Data Catalog. The AWSGlueServiceRole policy is a managed policy that grants these permissions¹.

? Associate the role with the crawler.

? Specify the S3 bucket path of the source data as the crawler's data store. The crawler will scan the data and infer the schema and format².

? Create a daily schedule to run the crawler. The crawler will run at the specified time every day and update the Data Catalog with any changes in the data³.

? Specify a database name for the output. The crawler will create or update a table in the Data Catalog under the specified database. The table will contain the metadata about the data in the S3 bucket, such as the location, schema, and classification.

Option B is the only solution that includes all these steps. Therefore, option B is the correct answer.

Option A is incorrect because it configures the output destination to a new path in the existing S3 bucket. This is unnecessary and may cause confusion, as the crawler does not write any data to the S3 bucket, only metadata to the Data Catalog.

Option C is incorrect because it allocates data processing units (DPUs) to run the crawler every day. This is also unnecessary, as DPUs are only used for AWS Glue ETL jobs, not crawlers.

Option D is incorrect because it combines the errors of option A and C. It configures the output destination to a new path in the existing S3 bucket and allocates DPUs to run the crawler every day, both of which are irrelevant for the crawler.

References:

? 1: AWS managed (predefined) policies for AWS Glue - AWS Glue

? 2: Data Catalog and crawlers in AWS Glue - AWS Glue

? 3: Scheduling an AWS Glue crawler - AWS Glue

? [4]: Parameters set on Data Catalog tables by crawler - AWS Glue

? [5]: AWS Glue pricing - Amazon Web Services (AWS)

NEW QUESTION 44

A company maintains multiple extract, transform, and load (ETL) workflows that ingest data from the company's operational databases into an Amazon S3 based data lake. The ETL workflows use AWS Glue and Amazon EMR to process data.

The company wants to improve the existing architecture to provide automated orchestration and to require minimal manual effort.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. AWS Glue workflows
- B. AWS Step Functions tasks
- C. AWS Lambda functions
- D. Amazon Managed Workflows for Apache Airflow (Amazon MWAA) workflows

Answer: A

Explanation:

AWS Glue workflows are a feature of AWS Glue that enable you to create and visualize complex ETL pipelines using AWS Glue components, such as crawlers, jobs, triggers, and development endpoints. AWS Glue workflows provide automated orchestration and require minimal manual effort, as they handle dependency resolution, error handling, state management, and resource allocation for your ETL workflows. You can use AWS Glue workflows to ingest data from your operational databases into your Amazon S3 based data lake, and then use AWS Glue and Amazon EMR to process the data in the data lake. This solution will meet the requirements with the least operational overhead, as it leverages the serverless and fully managed nature of AWS Glue, and the scalability and flexibility of Amazon EMR¹².

The other options are not optimal for the following reasons:

? B. AWS Step Functions tasks. AWS Step Functions is a service that lets you coordinate multiple AWS services into serverless workflows. You can use AWS Step Functions tasks to invoke AWS Glue and Amazon EMR jobs as part of your ETL workflows, and use AWS Step Functions state machines to define the logic

and flow of your workflows. However, this option would require more manual effort than AWS Glue workflows, as you would need to write JSON code to define your state machines, handle errors and retries, and monitor the execution history and status of your workflows.

? C. AWS Lambda functions. AWS Lambda is a service that lets you run code without provisioning or managing servers. You can use AWS Lambda functions to trigger AWS Glue and Amazon EMR jobs as part of your ETL workflows, and use AWS Lambda event sources and destinations to orchestrate the flow of your workflows. However, this option would also require more manual effort than AWS Glue workflows, as you would need to write code to implement your business logic, handle errors and retries, and monitor the invocation and execution of your Lambda functions. Moreover, AWS Lambda functions have limitations on the execution time, memory, and concurrency, which may affect the performance and scalability of your ETL workflows.

? D. Amazon Managed Workflows for Apache Airflow (Amazon MWAA) workflows.

Amazon MWAA is a managed service that makes it easy to run open source Apache Airflow on AWS. Apache Airflow is a popular tool for creating and managing complex ETL pipelines using directed acyclic graphs (DAGs). You can use Amazon MWAA workflows to orchestrate AWS Glue and Amazon EMR jobs as part of your ETL workflows, and use the Airflow web interface to visualize and monitor your workflows. However, this option would have more operational overhead than AWS Glue workflows, as you would need to set up and configure your Amazon MWAA environment, write Python code to define your DAGs, and manage the dependencies and versions of your Airflow plugins and operators.

References:

? 1: AWS Glue Workflows

? 2: AWS Glue and Amazon EMR

? 3: AWS Step Functions

? : AWS Lambda

? : Amazon Managed Workflows for Apache Airflow

NEW QUESTION 45

A company needs to build a data lake in AWS. The company must provide row-level data access and column-level data access to specific teams. The teams will access the data by using Amazon Athena, Amazon Redshift Spectrum, and Apache Hive from Amazon EMR.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Use Amazon S3 for data lake storag
- B. Use S3 access policies to restrict data access by rows and column
- C. Provide data access throughAmazon S3.
- D. Use Amazon S3 for data lake storag
- E. Use Apache Ranger through Amazon EMR to restrict data access byrows and column
- F. Providedata access by using Apache Pig.
- G. Use Amazon Redshift for data lake storag
- H. Use Redshift security policies to restrict data access byrows and column
- I. Provide data accessby usingApache Spark and Amazon Athena federated queries.
- J. UseAmazon S3 for data lake storag
- K. Use AWS Lake Formation to restrict data access by rows and column
- L. Provide data access through AWS Lake Formation.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Option D is the best solution to meet the requirements with the least operational overhead because AWS Lake Formation is a fully managed service that simplifies the process of building, securing, and managing data lakes. AWS Lake Formation allows you to define granular data access policies at the row and column level for different users and groups. AWS Lake Formation also integrates with Amazon Athena, Amazon Redshift Spectrum, and Apache Hive on Amazon EMR, enabling these services to access the data in the data lake through AWS Lake Formation.

Option A is not a good solution because S3 access policies cannot restrict data access by rows and columns. S3 access policies are based on the identity and permissions of the requester, the bucket and object ownership, and the object prefix and tags. S3 access policies cannot enforce fine-grained data access control at the row and column level. Option B is not a good solution because it involves using Apache Ranger and Apache Pig, which are not fully managed services and require additional configuration and maintenance. Apache Ranger is a framework that provides centralized security administration for data stored in Hadoop clusters, such as Amazon EMR. Apache Ranger can enforce row-level and column-level access policies for Apache Hive tables. However, Apache Ranger is not a native AWS service and requires manual installation and configuration on Amazon EMR clusters. Apache Pig is a platform that allows you to analyze large data sets using a high-level scripting language called Pig Latin. Apache Pig can access data stored in Amazon S3 and process it using Apache Hive. However,Apache Pig is not a native AWS service and requires manual installation and configuration on Amazon EMR clusters.

Option C is not a good solution because Amazon Redshift is not a suitable service for data lake storage. Amazon Redshift is a fully managed data warehouse service that allows you to run complex analytical queries using standard SQL. Amazon Redshift can enforce row- level and column-level access policies for different users and groups. However, Amazon Redshift is not designed to store and process large volumes of unstructured or semi- structured data, which are typical characteristics of data lakes. Amazon Redshift is also more expensive and less scalable than Amazon S3 for data lake storage.

References:

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide

? What Is AWS Lake Formation? - AWS Lake Formation

? Using AWS Lake Formation with Amazon Athena - AWS Lake Formation

? Using AWS Lake Formation with Amazon Redshift Spectrum - AWS Lake Formation

? Using AWS Lake Formation with Apache Hive on Amazon EMR - AWS Lake Formation

? Using Bucket Policies and User Policies - Amazon Simple Storage Service

? Apache Ranger

? Apache Pig

? What Is Amazon Redshift? - Amazon Redshift

NEW QUESTION 48

A company is migrating a legacy application to an Amazon S3 based data lake. A data engineer reviewed data that is associated with the legacy application. The data engineer found that the legacy data contained some duplicate information.

The data engineer must identify and remove duplicate information from the legacy application data.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Write a custom extract, transform, and load (ETL) job in Pytho
- B. Use the DataFramedrop duplicatesf) function by importingthe Pandas library to perform data deduplication.
- C. Write an AWS Glue extract, transform, and load (ETL) jo
- D. Usethe FindMatches machine learning(ML) transform to transform the data to perform data deduplication.
- E. Write a custom extract, transform, and load (ETL) job in Pytho
- F. Import the Python dedupe librar
- G. Use the dedupe library to perform data deduplication.

- H. Write an AWS Glue extract, transform, and load (ETL) job
- I. Import the Python dedupe library
- J. Use the dedupe library to perform data deduplication.

Answer: B

Explanation:

AWS Glue is a fully managed serverless ETL service that can handle data deduplication with minimal operational overhead. AWS Glue provides a built-in ML transform called FindMatches, which can automatically identify and group similar records in a dataset. FindMatches can also generate a primary key for each group of records and remove duplicates. FindMatches does not require any coding or prior ML experience, as it can learn from a sample of labeled data provided by the user. FindMatches can also scale to handle large datasets and optimize the cost and performance of the ETL job. References:

- ? AWS Glue
- ? FindMatches ML Transform
- ? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide

NEW QUESTION 51

A healthcare company uses Amazon Kinesis Data Streams to stream real-time health data from wearable devices, hospital equipment, and patient records. A data engineer needs to find a solution to process the streaming data. The data engineer needs to store the data in an Amazon Redshift Serverless warehouse. The solution must support near real-time analytics of the streaming data and the previous day's data. Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Load data into Amazon Kinesis Data Firehose
- B. Load the data into Amazon Redshift.
- C. Use the streaming ingestion feature of Amazon Redshift.
- D. Load the data into Amazon S3. Use the COPY command to load the data into Amazon Redshift.
- E. Use the Amazon Aurora zero-ETL integration with Amazon Redshift.

Answer: B

Explanation:

The streaming ingestion feature of Amazon Redshift enables you to ingest data from streaming sources, such as Amazon Kinesis Data Streams, into Amazon Redshift tables in near real-time. You can use the streaming ingestion feature to process the streaming data from the wearable devices, hospital equipment, and patient records. The streaming ingestion feature also supports incremental updates, which means you can append new data or update existing data in the Amazon Redshift tables. This way, you can store the data in an Amazon Redshift Serverless warehouse and support near real-time analytics of the streaming data and the previous day's data. This solution meets the requirements with the least operational overhead, as it does not require any additional services or components to ingest and process the streaming data. The other options are either not feasible or not optimal. Loading data into Amazon Kinesis Data Firehose and then into Amazon Redshift (option A) would introduce additional latency and cost, as well as require additional configuration and management. Loading data into Amazon S3 and then using the COPY command to load the data into Amazon Redshift (option C) would also introduce additional latency and cost, as well as require additional storage space and ETL logic. Using the Amazon Aurora zero-ETL integration with Amazon Redshift (option D) would not work, as it requires the data to be stored in Amazon Aurora first, which is not the case for the streaming data from the healthcare company. References:

- ? Using streaming ingestion with Amazon Redshift
- ? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide, Chapter 3: Data Ingestion and Transformation, Section 3.5: Amazon Redshift Streaming Ingestion

NEW QUESTION 53

A company has five offices in different AWS Regions. Each office has its own human resources (HR) department that uses a unique IAM role. The company stores employee records in a data lake that is based on Amazon S3 storage.

A data engineering team needs to limit access to the records. Each HR department should be able to access records for only employees who are within the HR department's Region.

Which combination of steps should the data engineering team take to meet this requirement with the LEAST operational overhead? (Choose two.)

- A. Use data filters for each Region to register the S3 paths as data locations.
- B. Register the S3 path as an AWS Lake Formation location.
- C. Modify the IAM roles of the HR departments to add a data filter for each department's Region.
- D. Enable fine-grained access control in AWS Lake Formation
- E. Add a data filter for each Region.
- F. Create a separate S3 bucket for each Region
- G. Configure an IAM policy to allow S3 access
- H. Restrict access based on Region.

Answer: BD

Explanation:

AWS Lake Formation is a service that helps you build, secure, and manage data lakes on Amazon S3. You can use AWS Lake Formation to register the S3 path as a data lake location, and enable fine-grained access control to limit access to the records based on the HR department's Region. You can use data filters to specify which S3 prefixes or partitions each HR department can access, and grant permissions to the IAM roles of the HR departments accordingly. This solution will meet the requirement with the least operational overhead, as it simplifies the data lake management and security, and leverages the existing IAM roles of the HR departments.

The other options are not optimal for the following reasons:

- ? A. Use data filters for each Region to register the S3 paths as data locations. This option is not possible, as data filters are not used to register S3 paths as data locations, but to grant permissions to access specific S3 prefixes or partitions within a data location. Moreover, this option does not specify how to limit access to the records based on the HR department's Region.
- ? C. Modify the IAM roles of the HR departments to add a data filter for each department's Region. This option is not possible, as data filters are not added to IAM roles, but to permissions granted by AWS Lake Formation. Moreover, this option does not specify how to register the S3 path as a data lake location, or how to enable fine-grained access control in AWS Lake Formation.
- ? E. Create a separate S3 bucket for each Region. Configure an IAM policy to allow S3 access. Restrict access based on Region. This option is not recommended, as it would require more operational overhead to create and manage multiple S3 buckets, and to configure and maintain IAM policies for each HR department. Moreover, this option does not leverage the benefits of AWS Lake Formation, such as data cataloging, data transformation, and data governance.

References:

- ? 1: AWS Lake Formation
- ? 2: AWS Lake Formation Permissions

? : AWS Identity and Access Management
? : Amazon S3

NEW QUESTION 56

A data engineer runs Amazon Athena queries on data that is in an Amazon S3 bucket. The Athena queries use AWS Glue Data Catalog as a metadata table. The data engineer notices that the Athena query plans are experiencing a performance bottleneck. The data engineer determines that the cause of the performance bottleneck is the large number of partitions that are in the S3 bucket. The data engineer must resolve the performance bottleneck and reduce Athena query planning time.

Which solutions will meet these requirements? (Choose two.)

- A. Create an AWS Glue partition index.
- B. Enable partition filtering.
- C. Bucket the data based on a column that the data have in common in a WHERE clause of the user query.
- D. Use Athena partition projection based on the S3 bucket prefix.
- E. Transform the data that is in the S3 bucket to Apache Parquet format.
- F. Use the Amazon EMR S3DistCP utility to combine smaller objects in the S3 bucket into larger objects.

Answer: AC

Explanation:

The best solutions to resolve the performance bottleneck and reduce Athena query planning time are to create an AWS Glue partition index and enable partition filtering, and to use Athena partition projection based on the S3 bucket prefix.

AWS Glue partition indexes are a feature that allows you to speed up query processing of highly partitioned tables cataloged in AWS Glue Data Catalog. Partition indexes are available for queries in Amazon EMR, Amazon Redshift Spectrum, and AWS Glue ETL jobs. Partition indexes are sublists of partition keys defined in the table. When you create a partition index, you specify a list of partition keys that already exist on a given table. AWS Glue then creates an index for the specified keys and stores it in the Data Catalog. When you run a query that filters on the partition keys, AWS Glue uses the partition index to quickly identify the relevant partitions without scanning the entire table metadata. This reduces the query planning time and improves the query performance¹.

Athena partition projection is a feature that allows you to speed up query processing of highly partitioned tables and automate partition management. In partition projection, Athena calculates partition values and locations using the table properties that you configure directly on your table in AWS Glue. The table properties allow Athena to 'project', or determine, the necessary partition information instead of having to do a more time-consuming metadata lookup in the AWS Glue Data Catalog. Because in-memory operations are often faster than remote operations, partition projection can reduce the runtime of queries against highly partitioned tables. Partition projection also automates partition management because it removes the need to manually create partitions in Athena, AWS Glue, or your external Hive metastore².

Option B is not the best solution, as bucketing the data based on a column that the data have in common in a WHERE clause of the user query would not reduce the query planning time. Bucketing is a technique that divides data into buckets based on a hash function applied to a column. Bucketing can improve the performance of join queries by reducing the amount of data that needs to be shuffled between nodes. However, bucketing does not affect the partition metadata retrieval, which is the main cause of the performance bottleneck in this scenario³.

Option D is not the best solution, as transforming the data that is in the S3 bucket to Apache Parquet format would not reduce the query planning time. Apache Parquet is a columnar storage format that can improve the performance of analytical queries by reducing the amount of data that needs to be scanned and providing efficient compression and encoding schemes. However, Parquet does not affect the partition metadata retrieval, which is the main cause of the performance bottleneck in this scenario⁴.

Option E is not the best solution, as using the Amazon EMR S3DistCP utility to combine smaller objects in the S3 bucket into larger objects would not reduce the query planning time. S3DistCP is a tool that can copy large amounts of data between Amazon S3 buckets or from HDFS to Amazon S3. S3DistCP can also aggregate smaller files into larger files to improve the performance of sequential access. However, S3DistCP does not affect the partition metadata retrieval, which is the main cause of the performance bottleneck in this scenario⁵. References:

? Improve query performance using AWS Glue partition indexes

? Partition projection with Amazon Athena

? Bucketing vs Partitioning

? Columnar Storage Formats

? S3DistCp

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide

NEW QUESTION 60

A data engineer is using Amazon Athena to analyze sales data that is in Amazon S3. The data engineer writes a query to retrieve sales amounts for 2023 for several products from a table named sales_data. However, the query does not return results for all of the products that are in the sales_data table. The data engineer needs to troubleshoot the query to resolve the issue.

The data engineer's original query is as follows: SELECT product_name, sum(sales_amount) FROM sales_data WHERE year = 2023

GROUP BY product_name

How should the data engineer modify the Athena query to meet these requirements?

- A. Replace sum(sales amount) with count(*) for the aggregation.
- B. Change WHERE year = 2023 to WHERE extract(year FROM sales_data) = 2023.
- C. Add HAVING sum(sales amount) > 0 after the GROUP BY clause.
- D. Remove the GROUP BY clause

Answer: B

Explanation:

The original query does not return results for all of the products because the year column in the sales_data table is not an integer, but a timestamp. Therefore, the WHERE clause does not filter the data correctly, and only returns the products that have a null value for the year column. To fix this, the data engineer should use the extract function to extract the year from the timestamp and compare it with 2023. This way, the query will return the correct results for all of the products in the sales_data table. The other options are either incorrect or irrelevant, as they do not address the root cause of the issue. Replacing sum with count does not change the filtering condition, adding HAVING clause does not affect the grouping logic, and removing the GROUP BY clause does not solve the problem of missing products. References:

? Troubleshooting JSON queries - Amazon Athena (Section: JSON related errors)

? When I query a table in Amazon Athena, the TIMESTAMP result is empty (Section: Resolution)

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide (Chapter 7, page 197)

NEW QUESTION 63

A company wants to implement real-time analytics capabilities. The company wants to use Amazon Kinesis Data Streams and Amazon Redshift to ingest and process streaming data at the rate of several gigabytes per second. The company wants to derive near real-time insights by using existing business intelligence (BI) and analytics tools.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Use Kinesis Data Streams to stage data in Amazon S3. Use the COPY command to load data from Amazon S3 directly into Amazon Redshift to make the data immediately available for real-time analysis.
- B. Access the data from Kinesis Data Streams by using SQL queries
- C. Create materialized views directly on top of the stream
- D. Refresh the materialized views regularly to query the most recent stream data.
- E. Create an external schema in Amazon Redshift to map the data from Kinesis Data Streams to an Amazon Redshift object
- F. Create a materialized view to read data from the stream
- G. Set the materialized view to auto refresh.
- H. Connect Kinesis Data Streams to Amazon Kinesis Data Firehose
- I. Use Kinesis Data Firehose to stage the data in Amazon S3. Use the COPY command to load the data from Amazon S3 to a table in Amazon Redshift.

Answer: C

Explanation:

This solution meets the requirements of implementing real-time analytics capabilities with the least operational overhead. By creating an external schema in Amazon Redshift, you can access the data from Kinesis Data Streams using SQL queries without having to load the data into the cluster. By creating a materialized view on top of the stream, you can store the results of the query in the cluster and make them available for analysis. By setting the materialized view to auto refresh, you can ensure that the view is updated with the latest data from the stream at regular intervals. This way, you can derive near real-time insights by using existing BI and analytics tools. References:

- ? Amazon Redshift streaming ingestion
- ? Creating an external schema for Amazon Kinesis Data Streams
- ? Creating a materialized view for Amazon Kinesis Data Streams

NEW QUESTION 66

A data engineer is configuring an AWS Glue job to read data from an Amazon S3 bucket. The data engineer has set up the necessary AWS Glue connection details and an associated IAM role. However, when the data engineer attempts to run the AWS Glue job, the data engineer receives an error message that indicates that there are problems with the Amazon S3 VPC gateway endpoint.

The data engineer must resolve the error and connect the AWS Glue job to the S3 bucket. Which solution will meet this requirement?

- A. Update the AWS Glue security group to allow inbound traffic from the Amazon S3 VPC gateway endpoint.
- B. Configure an S3 bucket policy to explicitly grant the AWS Glue job permissions to access the S3 bucket.
- C. Review the AWS Glue job code to ensure that the AWS Glue connection details include a fully qualified domain name.
- D. Verify that the VPC's route table includes inbound and outbound routes for the Amazon S3 VPC gateway endpoint.

Answer: D

Explanation:

The error message indicates that the AWS Glue job cannot access the Amazon S3 bucket through the VPC endpoint. This could be because the VPC's route table does not have the necessary routes to direct the traffic to the endpoint. To fix this, the data engineer must verify that the route table has an entry for the Amazon S3 service prefix (com.amazonaws.region.s3) with the target as the VPC endpoint ID. This will allow the AWS Glue job to use the VPC endpoint to access the S3 bucket without going through the internet or a NAT gateway. For more information, see Gateway endpoints. References:

- ? Troubleshoot the AWS Glue error "VPC S3 endpoint validation failed"
- ? Amazon VPC endpoints for Amazon S3
- ? [AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide]

NEW QUESTION 67

A company is planning to use a provisioned Amazon EMR cluster that runs Apache Spark jobs to perform big data analysis. The company requires high reliability. A big data team must follow best practices for running cost-optimized and long-running workloads on Amazon EMR. The team must find a solution that will maintain the company's current level of performance.

Which combination of resources will meet these requirements MOST cost-effectively? (Choose two.)

- A. Use Hadoop Distributed File System (HDFS) as a persistent data store.
- B. Use Amazon S3 as a persistent data store.
- C. Use x86-based instances for core nodes and task nodes.
- D. Use Graviton instances for core nodes and task nodes.
- E. Use Spot Instances for all primary nodes.

Answer: BD

Explanation:

The best combination of resources to meet the requirements of high reliability, cost-optimization, and performance for running Apache Spark jobs on Amazon EMR is to use Amazon S3 as a persistent data store and Graviton instances for core nodes and task nodes.

Amazon S3 is a highly durable, scalable, and secure object storage service that can store any amount of data for a variety of use cases, including big data analytics¹. Amazon S3 is a better choice than HDFS as a persistent data store for Amazon EMR, as it decouples the storage from the compute layer, allowing for more flexibility and cost-efficiency. Amazon S3 also supports data encryption, versioning, lifecycle management, and cross-region replication¹. Amazon EMR integrates seamlessly with Amazon S3, using EMR File System (EMRFS) to access data stored in Amazon S3 buckets². EMRFS also supports consistent view, which enables Amazon EMR to provide read-after-write consistency for Amazon S3 objects that are accessed through EMRFS².

Graviton instances are powered by Arm-based AWS Graviton² processors that deliver up to 40% better price performance over comparable current generation x86-based instances³. Graviton instances are ideal for running workloads that are CPU-bound, memory-bound, or network-bound, such as big data analytics, web servers, and open-source databases³. Graviton instances are compatible with Amazon EMR, and can be used for both core nodes and task nodes. Core nodes are responsible for running the data processing frameworks, such as Apache Spark, and storing data in HDFS or the local file system. Task nodes are optional nodes that can be added to a cluster to increase the processing power and throughput. By using Graviton instances for both core nodes and task nodes, you can achieve higher performance and lower cost than using x86-based instances.

Using Spot Instances for all primary nodes is not a good option, as it can compromise the reliability and availability of the cluster. Spot Instances are spare EC2 instances that are available at up to 90% discount compared to On-Demand prices, but they can be interrupted by EC2 with a two-minute notice when EC2 needs

the capacity back. Primary nodes are the nodes that run the cluster software, such as Hadoop, Spark, Hive, and Hue, and are essential for the cluster operation. If a primary node is interrupted by EC2, the cluster will fail or become unstable. Therefore, it is recommended to use On-Demand Instances or Reserved Instances for primary nodes, and use Spot Instances only for task nodes that can tolerate interruptions. References:

- ? Amazon S3 - Cloud Object Storage
- ? EMR File System (EMRFS)
- ? AWS Graviton2 Processor-Powered Amazon EC2 Instances
- ? [Plan and Configure EC2 Instances]
- ? [Amazon EC2 Spot Instances]
- ? [Best Practices for Amazon EMR]

NEW QUESTION 70

A data engineer needs to maintain a central metadata repository that users access through Amazon EMR and Amazon Athena queries. The repository needs to provide the schema and properties of many tables. Some of the metadata is stored in Apache Hive. The data engineer needs to import the metadata from Hive into the central metadata repository.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST development effort?

- A. Use Amazon EMR and Apache Ranger.
- B. Use a Hive metastore on an EMR cluster.
- C. Use the AWS Glue Data Catalog.
- D. Use a metastore on an Amazon RDS for MySQL DB instance.

Answer: C

Explanation:

The AWS Glue Data Catalog is an Apache Hive metastore-compatible catalog that provides a central metadata repository for various data sources and formats. You can use the AWS Glue Data Catalog as an external Hive metastore for Amazon EMR and Amazon Athena queries, and import metadata from existing Hive metastores into the Data Catalog. This solution requires the least development effort, as you can use AWS Glue crawlers to automatically discover and catalog the metadata from Hive, and use the AWS Glue console, AWS CLI, or Amazon EMR API to configure the Data Catalog as the Hive metastore. The other options are either more complex or require additional steps, such as setting up Apache Ranger for security, managing a Hive metastore on an EMR cluster or an RDS instance, or migrating the metadata manually. References:

- ? Using the AWS Glue Data Catalog as the metastore for Hive (Section: Specifying AWS Glue Data Catalog as the metastore)
- ? Metadata Management: Hive Metastore vs AWS Glue (Section: AWS Glue Data Catalog)
- ? AWS Glue Data Catalog support for Spark SQL jobs (Section: Importing metadata from an existing Hive metastore)
- ? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide (Chapter 5, page 131)

NEW QUESTION 75

A company stores data in a data lake that is in Amazon S3. Some data that the company stores in the data lake contains personally identifiable information (PII). Multiple user groups need to access the raw data. The company must ensure that user groups can access only the PII that they require.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST effort?

- A. Use Amazon Athena to query the data
- B. Set up AWS Lake Formation and create data filters to establish levels of access for the company's IAM role
- C. Assign each user to the IAM role that matches the user's PII access requirements.
- D. Use Amazon QuickSight to access the data
- E. Use column-level security features in QuickSight to limit the PII that users can retrieve from Amazon S3 by using Amazon Athena
- F. Define QuickSight access levels based on the PII access requirements of the users.
- G. Build a custom query builder UI that will run Athena queries in the background to access the data
- H. Create user groups in Amazon Cognito
- I. Assign access levels to the user groups based on the PII access requirements of the users.
- J. Create IAM roles that have different levels of granular access
- K. Assign the IAM roles to IAM user group
- L. Use an identity-based policy to assign access levels to user groups at the column level.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Amazon Athena is a serverless, interactive query service that enables you to analyze data in Amazon S3 using standard SQL. AWS Lake Formation is a service that helps you build, secure, and manage data lakes on AWS. You can use AWS Lake Formation to create data filters that define the level of access for different IAM roles based on the columns, rows, or tags of the data. By using Amazon Athena to query the data and AWS Lake Formation to create data filters, the company can meet the requirements of ensuring that user groups can access only the PII that they require with the least effort. The solution is to use Amazon Athena to query the data in the data lake that is in Amazon S3. Then, set up AWS Lake Formation and create data filters to establish levels of access for the company's IAM roles. For example, a data filter can allow a user group to access only the columns that contain the PII that they need, such as name and email address, and deny access to the columns that contain the PII that they do not need, such as phone number and social security number. Finally, assign each user to the IAM role that matches the user's PII access requirements. This way, the user groups can access the data in the data lake securely and efficiently. The other options are either not feasible or not optimal. Using Amazon QuickSight to access the data (option B) would require the company to pay for the QuickSight service and to configure the column-level security features for each user. Building a custom query builder UI that will run Athena queries in the background to access the data (option C) would require the company to develop and maintain the UI and to integrate it with Amazon Cognito. Creating IAM roles that have different levels of granular access (option D) would require the company to manage multiple IAM roles and policies and to ensure that they are aligned with the data schema. References:

- ? Amazon Athena
- ? AWS Lake Formation
- ? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide, Chapter 4: Data Analysis and Visualization, Section 4.3: Amazon Athena

NEW QUESTION 76

A data engineer needs Amazon Athena queries to finish faster. The data engineer notices that all the files the Athena queries use are currently stored in uncompressed .csv format. The data engineer also notices that users perform most queries by selecting a specific column.

Which solution will MOST speed up the Athena query performance?

- A. Change the data format from .csv to JSON format

- B. Apply Snappy compression.
- C. Compress the .csv files by using Snappy compression.
- D. Change the data format from .csv to Apache Parquet
- E. Apply Snappy compression.
- F. Compress the .csv files by using gzip compression.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Amazon Athena is a serverless interactive query service that allows you to analyze data in Amazon S3 using standard SQL. Athena supports various data formats, such as CSV, JSON, ORC, Avro, and Parquet. However, not all data formats are equally efficient for querying. Some data formats, such as CSV and JSON, are row-oriented, meaning that they store data as a sequence of records, each with the same fields. Row-oriented formats are suitable for loading and exporting data, but they are not optimal for analytical queries that often access only a subset of columns. Row-oriented formats also do not support compression or encoding techniques that can reduce the data size and improve the query performance.

On the other hand, some data formats, such as ORC and Parquet, are column-oriented, meaning that they store data as a collection of columns, each with a specific data type. Column-oriented formats are ideal for analytical queries that often filter, aggregate, or join data by columns. Column-oriented formats also support compression and encoding techniques that can reduce the data size and improve the query performance. For example, Parquet supports dictionary encoding, which replaces repeated values with numeric codes, and run-length encoding, which replaces consecutive identical values with a single value and a count. Parquet also supports various compression algorithms, such as Snappy, GZIP, and ZSTD, that can further reduce the data size and improve the query performance.

Therefore, changing the data format from CSV to Parquet and applying Snappy compression will most speed up the Athena query performance. Parquet is a column-oriented format that allows Athena to scan only the relevant columns and skip the rest, reducing the amount of data read from S3. Snappy is a compression algorithm that reduces the data size without compromising the query speed, as it is splittable and does not require decompression before reading. This solution will also reduce the cost of Athena queries, as Athena charges based on the amount of data scanned from S3.

The other options are not as effective as changing the data format to Parquet and applying Snappy compression. Changing the data format from CSV to JSON and applying Snappy compression will not improve the query performance significantly, as JSON is also a row-oriented format that does not support columnar access or encoding techniques. Compressing the CSV files by using Snappy compression will reduce the data size, but it will not improve the query performance significantly, as CSV is still a row-oriented format that does not support columnar access or encoding techniques. Compressing the CSV files by using gzip compression will reduce the data size, but it will degrade the query performance, as gzip is not a splittable compression algorithm and requires decompression before reading. References:

? Amazon Athena

? Choosing the Right Data Format

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide, Chapter 5: Data Analysis and Visualization, Section 5.1: Amazon Athena

NEW QUESTION 77

.....

Thank You for Trying Our Product

We offer two products:

1st - We have Practice Tests Software with Actual Exam Questions

2nd - Questions and Answers in PDF Format

AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Practice Exam Features:

- * AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Questions and Answers Updated Frequently
- * AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Practice Questions Verified by Expert Senior Certified Staff
- * AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Most Realistic Questions that Guarantee you a Pass on Your FirstTry
- * AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Practice Test Questions in Multiple Choice Formats and Updatesfor 1 Year

100% Actual & Verified — Instant Download, Please Click
[Order The AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Practice Test Here](#)